## **Synthesis: Peer Edit**

Read a peer's synthesis and then answer the questions below. The information provided will help the writer check that his or her paper does what he or she intended (for example, it is not necessarily wrong for a synthesis to include any of the writer's opinions, indeed, in a thesis-driven paper this is essential; however, the reader must be able to identify which opinions originated with the writer of the paper and which came from the sources).

- 1. What do you like best about your peer's synthesis? (Why? How might he or she do more of it?);
- 2. Is it clear what is being synthesized? (i.e.: Did your peer list the source(s), and cite it/them correctly?);
- 3.Is it always clear which source your peer is talking about at any given moment? (Mark any places where it is not clear);
- 4. Is the thesis of each original text clear in the synthesis? (Write out what you think each thesis is);
- 5. If you have read the same sources, a. did you identify the same theses as your peer? (If not, how do they differ?); b. did your peer miss any key points from his or her synthesis? (If so, what are they?); c. did your peer include any of his own opinions in his or her synthesis? (If so, what are they?);
- 6. Where there any points in the synthesis where you were lost because a transition was missing or material seems to have been omitted? (If so, where and how might it be fixed?);
- 7. What is the organizational structure of the synthesis essay? (It might help to draw a plan/diagram);
- 8. Does this structure work? (If not, how might your peer revise it?);
- 9. How is each paragraph structured? (It might help to draw a plan/diagram);
- 10. Is this method effective? (If not, how should your peer revise?);
- 11. Was there a mechanical, grammatical, or spelling error that annoyed you as you read the paper? (If so, how could the author fix it? Did you notice this error occurring more than once?) Do not comment on every typographical or other error you see. It is a waste of time to carefully edit a paper before it is revised!
- 12. What other advice do you have for the author of this paper?